

2022 Hybrid Illinois Dairy Summit

OPPORTUNITIES LEARNED IN MANAGING DAIRY COWS DURING COVID

www.illinoismilk.org/DairySummit2022





I ILLINOIS

Extension college of agricultural, consumer & environmental sciences

Follow us @ILMilkProducers @UIUCDairyExt

> 12th Annual Illinois Dairy Summit hosted by Illinois Milk Producers Association and University of Illinois Dairy Extension

2022 Hybrid Illinois Dairy Summit OPPORTUNITIES LEARNED IN MANAGING DAIRY COWS DURING COVID

AGENDA

11:00am Welcome

Feed Focus in 2022: NRC 2021 and Maintaining Profitability

Mike Hutjens, Ph.D., UIUC Dairy Specialist, emeritus

11:50am Evaluating Cow Value Derek Nolan, Ph.D., Teaching Assistant Professor, University of Illinois
12:30pm Lunch & Booth Visits
1:30pm Producer Panel: What Worked and What Didn't During the COVID Pandemic Scott Brenner, Hunter Havens Farm, Carroll County John Lawfer, Lawfers' Willow Valley Dairy Farm, Stephenson County Aaron Mitchell, Mitchell Dairy and Grain LLC, Winnebago County
2:20pm Q & A

2:45pm Wrap Up & Adjourn

ALSO PROVIDED VIRTUALLY: Wheat Silage as an Alternative for the Dry Cow Diet Phil Cardoso, DVM, Ph.D., University of Illinois



PLEASE FILL OUT OUR EVALUATION. Your feedback will help us navigate next year's Summit. https://bit.ly/DSsurvey22



Illinois Extension

www.illinoismilk.org/DairySummit2022

2

SPEAKERS Contact Information



Phil Cardoso, DVM, Ph.D.

University of Illinois cardoso2@illinois.edu

Phil is an associate professor at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. He received his D.V.M., and M.S. degrees from the Universidade Federal Do Rio Grande do Sul in Brazil, and his Ph.D. from the University of Illinois. Since 2012, Cardoso has established a unique program that seamlessly blends his teaching, extension, and research efforts. Phil and his students have published over 75 peerreviewed manuscripts (original research and invited reviews) and 3 invited book chapters to date.



Mike Hutjens, Ph.D.

UIUC Dairy Specialist, Emeritus hutjensm@illinois.edu

Mike was raised on a Holstein farm near Green Bay, Wisconsin. He has graduate degrees from the University of Wisconsin, Madison. Since 1979, he has been a member of the University of Illinois Animal Sciences Departments as extension dairy specialist. He has spoken at more than 60 meetings and conferences in 46 states, 17 foreign countries, and nine Canadian provinces.



Derek Nolan, Ph.D.

University of Illinois dtnolan@illinois.edu

Derek grew up on a dairy farm in Northeast Iowa. His passion for agriculture led him to Iowa State University where he earned his degree in Dairy Science. Derek completed both his Master's and Ph.D. at University of Kentucky with a research focus in milk quality and decision economics. Derek is now a Teaching Assistant Professor and Dairy Extension Specialist in the Animal Sciences Department at the University of Illinois.

MEET OUR DAIRY FARMER PANEL

SCOTT BRENNER

Hunter Havens Farm Carroll County jsbrenner7@gmail.com

JOHN LAWFER

Lawfers' Willow Valley Dairy Farm Stephenson County johnlawfer@gmail.com

AARON MITCHELL

Mitchell Dairy and Grain LLC Winnebago County aaronmitchell1991@gmail.com

BOARD **Contact Information**

Don Mackinson President

(Prairie Farms Dairy) Livingston County dmackinson56@gmail.com

Brent Pollard Vice President

(IAA Board Representative) Winnebago-Boone County pollard8@comcast.net

Tony Graves Treasurer

(Prairie Farms Dairy) **Richland County** cloverfarmsdairy@gmail.com

Mark Brase **Secretary**

(Dairy Farmers of America) Madison County mrkbrse@yahoo.com

Kappy Koch

(Prairie Farms Dairy) Tazewell County koch_5@frontier.com

Bryan Henrichs

(Prairie Farms Dairy) Clinton County gotmilk237@yahoo.com

Mark Tuttle

(IAA Board Representative) DeKalb County mtuttle@ilfb.org

Kurtis Johnson

(Dairy Farmers of America) Bond County villarosainc_553@hotmail.com

David Jarden (Dairy Farmers of America-Alternate)

Macoupin County cowman5_69@yahoo.com





2022 Hybrid Illinois Dairy Summit

Mike Hutjens, Ph.D. UIUC Dairy Specialist, emeritus

Feed Focus in 2022: Maintaining Profitability and 2021 Dairy NRC 2022 IMPA/-U of IL Dairy Summit Wike Hutjens

Feed Focus in 2022: Maintaining Profitability and 2021 Dairy NRC

Today's Program

- Economic status and definitions
- Focus on feed costs and solutions
- New Dairy NRC 2021 has arrived; so now what?

ILLINOIS



Economic Outlook Can You Be Profitable in 2022?



ILLINOIS

Current Budget Factors Adapted from Nietzke and Faupel, Jan. to June, 2021

Milk yield: 83 pounds per cow Days in milk: 181 days Milk Income: \$17.79 Breakeven milk price: \$16.94 (79 lb milk)

Cost Breakdown (Per Cwt)

- Feed costs: \$9.28
 - Cull cow expenses: \$2.55
 - Labor: \$1.77
 - Milk hauling: \$1.01
- Repairs and maintenance: \$0.49
 - Health: \$0.42

Higher Costs In 2022 (Guilke Group)

ILLINOIS

- Diesel: Up 60%
- Gas: Up 50%
- Used trucks: Up 26%
- Labor: Up 10 to 20%

Mike Hutjens, Ph.D. | Feed Focus in 2022: Maintaining Profitability and 2021 Dairy NRC

Fertilizer Prices Have Soared





Farmers control this phase of total costs

2021 Illinois DHI Breed Averages

	Holstein	Jersey
Number of herds	170	16
Herd size	188	220
Milk (average lb)	23,985	15,502
(weighed)	26,542	17,903
Milk fat (%)	3.93	4.98
Milk protein (%)	3.13	3.36

2021 Illinois DHI High Breed Averagse

	Cows	Milk (lb)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)
Koester	431	37,581	4.2	3.1
Kasbergen	3752	33,299	3.8	3.1
Fay-Bla	638	32,398	3.9	3.1
S & B	604	32,398	3.9	3.0
Bohnert	602	21,614	5.1	3.7
Clover	1467	19,472	4.8	3.7

ILLINOIS



Monthly per ton price for Supreme & Premium quality alfalfa hay



Mike Hutjens, Ph.D. Feed Focus in 2022: Maintaining Profitability and 2021 Dairy NRC

USDA Predicted Grain Prices in 2022

• Oats	\$3.70 / bushel <mark>(\$3.30)</mark>
• Wheat	\$6.50 / bushel <mark>(\$6.50)</mark>
• Soybeans	\$10.50 / bushel <mark>(\$12.10)</mark>
Shelled corn	\$4.80 / bushel <mark>(\$5.45)</mark>

USDA Predicted Milk Prices in 2022

- All milk price: \$22+ per cwt
- Wild cards
 - -U.S cow numbers down, but....
 - -Milk yield down (3% to under 2021 levels)
 - -Exporting17.5 percent of U.S. milk solids

- Will Covid reduce this impact
- Will shipping be a factor

Milk Production Strategies

- Peak milk sets the lactation curve only get one chance each lactation
- · High producing cows are most efficient and profitable
- Never give up milk
 - One pound of DM costs 15 cents
 - Milk prices vary, but use 22 cents per pound
 - One pound of DM can support 2+ pounds of milk (\$0.44)
 - Profit of each pound of dry matter is +\$0.29/cow/day

Managing Feed Cost

- Know your costs
- Use feed efficiency as a tool
- Alternative feed sources
- · Precision feeding approach
- · Strategic use of feed additives

Feed Benchmarks 2022--Illinois

				∌/uay	
Knowing Your	Forages	28	0.10	2.80	
Rilowing Four	Eb DM \$/10 DM \$/10 day Forages 28 0.10 2.80 Grain energy 10 0.12 1.20 By-product feeds 5 0.12 0.60 Protein supplements 5 0.20 1.00 Min /vit / additive 1 1.20 1.20 Ration balancing service 0.10 100				
Feed Costs					
		0.20	1.00		
	Min /vit / additive	1	1.20	1.20	
	Ration balancing service			0.10	
	Total	49		7.50	
TILLINOIS					

¢/day

Feed Benchmarks 2022--Illinois

Feed costs per cow per day	\$7.50	1
1. Feed cost per lb DM	0.15	
	Milk Pro	duction
	80 lb	70 lb
2. Feed cost per 100 lb milk	\$9.38	\$10.71
3. Income over feed costs / cow	\$12.62	\$11.29
4. Feed efficiency (Ib milk/Ib DM)	1.63	1.43

Feed Efficiency as a Tool

Power Of Feed Efficiency

- Relate the level of dry matter intake to fat or energy-corrected milk yield
- Compare different groups on the herd
- Measure the change when feeding and management changes are made

I ILLINOIS

Feed Efficiency (FE)

Pounds of 3.5% FCM divided by pounds of DM consumed

ILLINOIS

Group	FE	
High group, mature cows	>1.7	Example: 75 lb of 3 5%ECM
High group, 1st lactation	>1.6	divided by
Low group, all cows	>1.2	
One group TMR herds	>1.5	
Fresh cows (< 21 days)	<1.5	lb of dry matter
Concern	<1.3	
		,

	Milk Y	Fee	
Milk Yield Targets	lb	kg	Efficie
	55	25	1.25
For Feed Efficiency	60	27	1.32
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	65	30	1.38
	70	32	1.44
Source:	75	34	1.49
	80	36	1.54
The Onio State University	85	38	1.58

ILLINOIS

90

40

Economics of Feed Efficiency (70 pounds milk and \$0.15 cent pound DM)

Feed Efficiency (Ib milk/ Ib DM)	DMI (lb/day)	Difference (savings/day)
1.3	54.0	
		\$0.60
1.4	50.0	
1.5	46.6	\$0.51

ncy

1.63

Evaluating Feed Ingredient Costs

Midwest Breakeven Prices

(Sesame, January, 2022)

Current	Breakeven
\$217/ton	\$197/ton
\$427/ton	\$395/ton
\$55/ton	\$81/ton
\$236/ton	\$208/ton
\$467/ton	\$496/ton
\$435/ton	\$262/ton
\$435/ton	\$262/ton
	Current \$217/ton \$427/ton \$55/ton \$236/ton \$467/ton \$435/ton \$435/ton

Midwest Breakeven Prices (Sesame, January, 2022)

Feed	Current	Breakeven
Distillers grain	\$187/ton	\$265/ton
Corn gluten feed	\$207/ton	\$217/ton
Soy hulls	\$178/ton	\$161/ton
Fuzzy cottonseed	\$300/ton	\$314/ton
Wheat midds	\$153/ton	\$163/ton

Precision Nutrient Feeding

I ILLINOIS

Precision Feeding Of Nutrients

- Use of a **rumen model** to fine tune nutrient digestibility, fill factors, and dry matter intake
- Provide the adequate level of MP based on RUP and microbial protein

- Grouping of lactating cows
 Fresh, early lactation, late lactation, and first lactation cows
- Avoid excessive nutrients
 Rumen protected amino acids, fats, minerals, and vitamins

Protein Guidelines

(Source: 2001 and 2021 NRC, Holsteins, 680 kg body weight)

			-			
Item	Far Off	Close-Up	Fresh	Early	Mid	Late
DMI (kg)	14 (13.9)	10 (12.3)	15 (20.8)	30 <mark>(28)</mark>	24 (27.4)	20
Milk (kg)	None	None	35 <mark>(33)</mark>	55 <mark>(55)</mark>	35 (43)	25
CP (%)	9.9 <mark>(11.9)</mark>	12.4 (14.3)	19.5 <mark>(16.2)</mark>	16.7 (17.4)	15.2 (17.5)	14.1
RUP (%)	2.2	2.8	9.0	6.9	5.5	4.6
RDP (%)	7.7	9.6	10.5	9.8	9.7	9.5
MP (%)	6.0 <mark>(5.2)</mark>	8.0 <mark>(9.8)</mark>	13.8 <mark>(10.9)</mark>	11.6 (10.2)	10.2 (10.1)	9.2

Carbohydrate Guidelines

(Adapted from 2001 NRC, Holsteins, 680 kg body weight)

Item	Far Off	Close-Up	Fresh	Early	Mid	Late
DMI (kg)	14	10	15	30	24	20
Milk (kg)	None	None	35	55	35	25
NDF (%)	40	35	30	28	30	32
NFC (%)	30	34	35	38	35	32
Starch (%)	14	18	22	26	24	22
Sugar (%)	4	6	6	6	5	4

Strategic Use of Feed Additives

ILLINOIS

Additives Recommended (Lactating Cows)

- Rumen buffers
- Yeast culture/yeast products
- Monensin (Rumensin)
- Silage inoculants
- Biotin
- Organic trace minerals

Additives for Close Up Dry Cows

- · Yeast culture/yeast products
- Monensin (Rumensin)
- Silage inoculants
- Organic trace minerals + chromium
- Rumen protected choline
- Anionic product: DCAD: < 0 to -20 meq / kg or 0 to-20 meq / 100 g; target urine pH of 6.0

🗕 I ILLINOIS 🗖

Additives Recommended for Fresh Cows

= IILINOIS =

- Rumen buffers
- Yeast culture/yeast products
- Monensin (Rumensin)
- Calcium supplement (bolus/drench)
- Silage inoculants
- Biotin
- Organic trace minerals + chromium
- Rumen protected choline

Hutjens "As Needs" List

- Propylene glycol or glycerol (300 to 500 ml)
- Calcium propionate (150 grams)
- Niacin (3 g protected; 3 g unprotected)
- Mycotoxin binders (clay mineral or yeast cell MOS compounds)
- Acid-based preservatives (baled hay / high moisture corn 0.5 to 1%)

Take Home Messages

- Use all available tools to measure optimal performance
- · Control the controllable costs
- · Optimize milk yield and components
- · May not be able to save your way to profits

ILLINOIS



The Dairy NRC 2021 Model

- Functions similar to NRC 2001 model
- Available in December, 2021 and free
- Contains amino acid guidelines
- Environmental nutrient impact (methane, nitrogen, and phosphorous)
- Fatty acid evaluation
- Feed costs can be entered

I ILLINOIS

Dry Matter Intake (DMI): Mike Allen

- DMI for high producing cows lowered by 4 pounds
- DMI for low producing cows increased by 2 pounds
- DMI lowered first 31 days instead of 90 days
- Ratio of ADF:NDF or lignin can be used to predict DMI (user option)
- Fill factors: Forage NDF and forage fragility (particle size reduction, no lab measured)

I ILLINOIS

Protein and Amino Acids—Mark Hanigan

- New equations to predict milk protein using five amino acids and "other amino acids".
- Model predicts amino acids. If the values are low, this amino acid needs supplementation.
- No "first limiting" amino acid concept.
- Optimal RDP level is 10% DM; maximum is 12%.

Macro-Minerals—Rich Erdman

- Recommendations are based on an absorbed mineral basis using absorption coefficient (AC).
- A minimum DCAD based on requirements for sodium, potassium, chloride and sulfur (not for heat stress).
- Magnesium: AC adjusted the magnesium to potassium equation, reduction in milk magnesium requirement, and an increase in maintenance.
- Sodium: maintenance increased 10-15 grams per day, drop in lactation requirements by 6 to 12 grams.

Mike Hutjens, Ph.D. | Feed Focus in 2022: Maintaining Profitability and 2021 Dairy NRC

Trace Minerals—Bill Weiss

- · No adjustments with dietary antagonists
- Does not include safety factors.
- Dry cows consume about half the dry matter take compared to lactating cows, same milligrams per day.
- AC are not included for organic trace minerals

I ILLINOIS

Trace Minerals (continued)

- Cobalt: increased to 0.2 ppm.
- Copper: 17 ppm dry cows and 9 ppm lactating cows.
- Chromium: no recommendations
- Manganese: 40 ppm for dry cows and 30 ppm milk cows should adequate.
- · Selenium: no change; regulated by FDA.
- Zinc: dry cows raised 10 percent to approximately 28 ppm; lactating cows increased 15 percent to about 60 ppm.

Vitamins—Bill Weiss

- Vitamin A: not changed except for cows producing over 75 lb of milk; add 450 IU/day per every lb of milk produced about 75 pounds.
- Vitamin D: Vitamin D2 has half the biological value of vitamin D3.
- Maintaining plasma concentrations of vitamin D 25hydroxy at 30 nannograms per milliliter.
- Vitamin E: 1000 IU for far off dry cows and 2000 IU for close up dry cows

I ILLINOIS

Transition Cows—Bill Weiss

- Dry matter intake: The level of NDF determine the range from 1.8 to 2.0 percent of body weight.
- Springing heifers are set at 88% of mature cows.
- DMI starts dropping two weeks pre-partum gradually down to 1.6 percent of body weight in the model.
- Birth weight of the unborn calf is estimated based on parity and cow weight.

I ILLINOIS

Transition Cows--continued

- No adjustments are included in the model for twins.
- Protein levels: 12 percent crude protein (7.2 percent MP) for dry cows, 13 percent crude protein (8.6 percent MP) for close up dry cows, and 14% crude protein (9.2 percent MP)
- No adjustments for colostrum synthesis
- No adjustments for rumen protect methionine unless needed for amino acid requirements.

I ILLINOIS

Fats and Oils—Lou Armentano

- Ether extract is not use, fatty acids application
- Lipids were grouped in to 11 categories with unique digestibility (can be changed)
- Basal oils have a digestibility of 73%
- Fats do not generate methane and lowers production from other sources
- No depression of DMI due to fat feeding

Carbohydrate—Mary Beth Hall

- Residual organic matter (ROM) and starch replaces NFC (non-fiber carbohydrates)
- Starch is a separate carbohydrate class
- 48 hours dNDF is recommended invitro time
- ROM = 100 crude protein ash -- fatty acids starch – WSC – NDSF – organic acids-- glycerol

ILLINOIS

Relationship of minimum forage NDF (fNDF), minimum NDF, and maximum starch levels

Minimum fNDF	Minimum NDF	Maximum starch	
19	25	30	
18	27	28	
17	29	26	
16	31	24	
15	33	22	

Energy Supply—Bill Weiss

- Discount energy based on percent of body weight instead of multiplies of maintenance
- Discount energy factor is lowered
- Starch is separated from ROM and dropping NFC
- Starch has a digestibility of 0.91 (91%) and be modified depending on processing

Take Home Messages

- The changes reflect modern higher producing cows using recent research.
- The flexibility to change values in the model allow for more customization of diets.
- The guidelines are conservative using only multiple journal research published.



2022 Hybrid Illinois Dairy Summit

Derek Nolan, Ph.D.

Teaching Assistant Professor, University of Illinois

Evaluating Cow Value to Make Culling Decisions



Dairy Extension

ILLINOIS ACES

Derek Nolan Illinois Dairy Summit February 2nd, 2022

Evaluating Cow Value

Outline

- Why do cows leave the herd?
- · Trends in cattle prices and cow numbers

ILLINOIS

- What do these prices mean?
- · Genetic Improvement vs Longevity
- Culling Considerations
- Take Home Points

How do we select cull cows

- Different for each farm
- Differ from situation to situation
- Health?
- Production?
- Repro?

Culling

- Involuntary culling
 - Poor health
 - Injury
 - Poor reproductive performance
- -Death
- Voluntary
 - Low milk production
 - Dairy purposes

US Culling Rates

					Percen	t Cows	r"				
	Herd s	iize (nu	mber o	f cows)			Re	gion			
Sr (30	nall -99)	Mec (100	fium -499)	La (50	rge)0+)	w	lest	E	ast	open	ations
Pct.	Std. error	Pct.	Std. error	Pct.	Std. error	Pct.	Std. error	Pct.	Std. error	Pct.	Std. error
27.8	(1.6)	30.8	(1.4)	35,1	(2.1)	36.7	(3.3)	31.4	(1.2)	33.8	(1.7)

Voluntary Culling Percent

Small Herd (30 to 99)	Medium (100 to 499)	Large (500+)	All Operations
23%	26%	20%	21%
22%	17%	22%	21%
21%	18%	16%	17%
7%	6%	11%	10%
7%	10%	7%	7%
2%	3%	3%	3%
1%	2%	2%	2%
5%	5%	4%	5%
	Small Herd (30 to 99) 23% 22% 21% 7% 2% 1% 5%	Small Herd (30 to 99) Medium (100 to 499) 23% 26% 22% 17% 21% 18% 7% 6% 7% 3% 2% 3% 1% 2% 5% 5%	Small Herd (30 to 99) Medium (100 to 499) Large (500+) 23% 26% 20% 22% 17% 22% 21% 18% 16% 7% 6% 11% 7% 3% 3% 2% 3% 3% 1% 2% 2% 5% 5% 4%

ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS

USDA 20

US Dairy Herd Size



Cull Cow Prices



Bred Heifer Costs



Cost of Culling

- Simplified:
 - Total cost = slaughter value rearing or purchase cost of replacement

ILLINOIS

Example

- · Using average cost from last three years
- 1,300 lb cow

Year	Cull Cow Price	Replacement Costs	Total
2021	\$723.34	\$991.69	-\$268.35
2020	\$672.25	\$984.98	-\$312.73
2019	\$641.54	\$1,006.37	-\$364.83
Average	\$677.78	\$991.68	-\$313.90

💻 I ILLINOIS 🛛

Cost of Culling

- Simplified:
 - Total cost = slaughter value rearing or purchase cost of replacement
- Optimal Cost:
 - Retention Pay Off Value Consider the potential income of cow in question vs potential income of replacement heifer

Retention Pay Off Value

• Consider the potential income of cow in question vs potential income of replacement heifer (over a specific period of time)

t of replacement
d costs
mination
t of Days Open
ase Costs
ability of Survival
ł

Retention Pay Off Value

- Positive = the amount of the money that should be spent keeping the cow in the herd
- Zero = optimal time of culling
- Negative = cow should be culled from the herd

Retention Pay Off



Impacts on RPO

- Milk yield
- Pregnancy status potential value of the calf
- Days open decrease RPO rather quickly
- Assumes replacements are readily available



Considering Cow Longevity

- Culling decisions based on cow age
- Cull old cows to make room for new genetics
- Potentially worth it to keep older cows around?

Longevity?

- · Ability to live a long life
- Herd life time from birth to culling
- Productive life time from first calving to culling

ILLINOIS

Breeding Value vs Productive Life





Costs associated with longevity

- Calf value opportunity costs Not producing calves that can be sold because they are needed for replacements
- Aged cow cost past peak lifetime milk yield (increased vet costs)

Impacts of longevity costs

- Calf value opportunity costs Decreases with with increased productive life
- Aged cow costs Increases with increased productive life

🗕 I ILLINOIS 🛛

Costs associated with longevity

- Lack of maturity cost lactations 1 to are less efficient milk producers
- Herd replacement costs cost of heifer minus price received when cow leaves herd
- Genetic opportunity costs cost of having older, less genetically improved cows

Impacts of longevity costs

- Lack of maturity costs Decreases with longer productive lifespan
- Herd replacement costs Decreases with longer productive lifespan
- Genetic opportunity costs Lower with younger herd

ILLINOIS

Optimal Productive Lifespan



Impacts of Productive Life

- · Influx of heifers pushing cows out
- Many of same culling reasons
 - -Low production
 - -Failure to conceive
 - -Health problems

University of MN study

- Combined DHIA and financial data
- Profitable herds had greater percentage of herd over 3rd lactation

- Consider break even costs of production
- · Cumulative vs annual





University of MN Study

- Profitable farms keep cows past cumulative breakeven
- Money made minus costs = profit
- Resilient Farms over 50% of cows have broken even
 - -50% of cows culled before they hit breakeven

ILLINOIS

 $-\operatorname{Even}$ with income from selling the cow

Culling Rates

Heifer Rearing Cost	Profit \$/cow/yr	Preg Rate	Annual Cull Rate	Surplus Heifer Calves
\$1,400.00	\$818.00	25%	59%	-22%
\$1,600.00	\$720.00	25%	41%	8%
\$1,800.00	\$647.00	25%	34%	21%
\$2,000.00	\$584.00	24%	30%	28%
\$2,200.00	\$526.00	24%	28%	32%
\$1,400.00	\$801.00	21%	64%	-30%
\$1,600.00	\$696.00	20%	44%	2%
\$1,800.00	\$617.00	20%	36%	15%
\$2,000.00	\$550.00	20%	32%	22%
\$2,200.00	\$488.00	20%	30%	26%
				De Vries (20

Take Home Messages

- Studies presented today only evaluate specific scenarios
- Culling decisions need to be specific to farm goals

🗕 I ILLINOIS 🛛

• Keeping and evaluating records is **very** important

Take Home Messages

- Retention Pay Off gives optimal time of culling
 -Very in depth analysis
- Breakeven costs more reasonable estimate
- Survival through time should be considered
- Culling is not always an economic decision

ILLINOIS

Other Culling Considerations

- Longevity spend the time and money to get your cows to pay off point
- Breakeven depends on cost of heifer raising

ILLINOIS

- Genetic testing
- Other selection options

Other Producers Thinking About Culling

Are you culling for the right reasons?



Thank you

Dairy Extension

ILLINOIS ACES



Derek Nolan University of Illinois Department of Animal Sciences <u>dtnolan@Illinois.edu</u> 217-244-7637

2022 Hybrid Illinois Dairy Summit

PHIL CARDOSO. DVM, Ph.D. *University of Illinois*

Wheat Silage as an Alternative for the Dry Cow Diet

Wheat silage as an alternative for the dry cow diet









Dietarv Recommendations for Dry Cows • NEL: Control energy intake at 14 to 16 Mcal daily [diet ~ 1.32 Mcal/kg (0.60 Mcal/lb) DM] for mature cows

- Crude protein: 12 14% of DM
- Metabolizable protein (MP): > 1,200 g/d
- Starch content: 12 to 15% of DM (NFC < 26%)

of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

- NDF from forage: 40 to 50% of total DM or 4.5 to 6 kg per head daily (~0.7 0.8% of BW). Target
 the high end of the range if more higher-energy fiber sources (like grass hay or low-quality alfalfa)
 are used, and the low end of the range if straw is used (2-5 kg)
- · Total ration DM content: <50% (add water if necessary)
- Minerals and vitamins: follow guidelines (For close-ups, target values are 0.40% magnesium (minimum), 0.35 0.40% sulfur, potassium as low as possible (Mg:K = 1:4), a DCAD of near zero or negative, calcium without anionic supplementation: 0.9 to 1.2% (-125g) calcium with full anion supplementation: 1.5 to 2.0% (-200g), 0.35 0.42% phosphorus, at least 1.500 IU of vitamin E, and 25,000 30,000 IU of Vitamin D (cholecalciferol)

Association of cows receiving different treatments prepartum and days to pregnancy (DTP)



Phil Cardoso, DVM, Ph.D. | Wheat Silage as an Alternative for the Dry Cow Diet

How to build the dry cow diet?

- · High forage digestibility is important
- Diets with more than 50% forage seem to work better
- No more than 4kg (~10 lb) of total DM coming from ingredients with more than 40% of NDF that are not from forage (by-product feeds)

How to build the dry cow diet? continuing...

- Cows usually eat 12–14 kg of dry matter (DM)
- 3 4 kg DM from chopped hay/straw – Particle size < 1.5 in (3 cm)

of Illinois at Urbana-Champai

- No more than 4 kg DM from corn silage (only USA?)
 Orn grain in the diet will come from corn silage
- Add forage with low energy and high NDF (hay/straw) if necessary



Common problems in management

- Sorting
- (improper processing, mixing, or DM content)



Common problems in management

- Sorting (improper processing, mixing, or DM content)
- Dietary composition too different from pre- to postpartum (e.g., starch, silage vs. hay)
- Inadequate access to feed (overcrowding, no push-up, not enough fed)
- Limited water availability
- Failure to adjust for changing DM% of feeds

Moldy or poor-quality ingredients

Providing NDF at the same amount before and after calving is key!

DRY COW (CU)	
• Diet with 50% NDF (100% from forage)	

FRESH COW • Diet with 28% NDF from

- DMI = 12 kg/d at 50% NDF = 6 kg/d NDF
- - F

• NEL = 1.32 Mcal/kg X 12 kg/d DMI = 15.8 Mcal University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

	forage
•	DMI = 21 kg/d at 28% NDF
	from forage = 6 kg/d NDF

Chopping corn for corn silage

How high can you go?



12" = 30.5 cm 22" = 56 cm BMR



Damery et al., unpubl









Phil Cardoso, DVM, Ph.D. | Wheat Silage as an Alternative for the Dry Cow Diet



0.0041 4,4 :(TMR)	13.2 0.0 8.1636 3.2	
0.0 0.0041 4,4 (TMR)	0.0 8.1636 5.2	
0.0041 4.4 (TMR)	8.1636 5.2	
4.4 (TMR)	5.2	
(TMR)		
		11.2
rotein (% DM)		14.2
% DM)		14.9
DM)		45.7
I)		1.25
M)		0.42
m forage (% DI	N)	39.5
al/kg)		1.38
	DM) M) DM) Im forage (% DI cal/kg)	DM) A) DM) m forage (% DM) cal/kg) Fehlber

her .	12100-000		Salacises .	
Corn Silage Conventional 20in U of I 2018 (02,2	24,19)	0.0	0.0	
Whole Crop Wheat Silage 35 DM 49 NDF		0.0	25.0	
Water		0.0000	0.0000	
Wheat Straw 5 CP 79 NDF 16 LNDF_2242019	_	0.0	0.0	
later brings to later	Nutrien	t (TMR)		
Halfing Report for Sec. Sec. and Million	DM (%)			43.5
See and the second s	Crude	Protein (% DM)		17.6
Solar Natifitianan	Otenab	(0/ DBA)		44.0
an 8.7	Starch	(% DIVI)		14.0
Particularia dalla	NDF (%	DM)		39.7
04+46	K (% DI	VI)		1.73
The second se	Ma (%)	MO		0.43
And and a second se	ing (78	5141)		0.45
hands of hereined (191 (he)	NDF fro	om forage (% D	M)	33.5
University of Illinois et Urbane Chempeign	NEL (M	cal/kg)		1.35

🖞 Corn silage		🖤 Wheat silage	
Nutrient (TMR)		Nutrient (TMR)	
DM (%)	41.2	DM (%)	43.5
Crude Protein (% DM)	14.2	Crude Protein (% DM)	17.6
Starch (% DM)	14.9	Starch (% DM)	14.6
NDF (% DM)	45.7	NDF (% DM)	39.7
K (% DM)	1.25	K (% DM)	1.73
Mg (% DM)	0.42	Mg (% DM)	0.43
NDF from forage (% DM)	39.5	NDF from forage (% DM)	33.5
NEL (Mcal/kg)	1.38	NEL (Mcal/kg)	1.35
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champa	m		





Sponsors

Thank You to the following Agri-Businesses for their sponsorship to our Illinois Dairy Summit







DCC Waterbeds[®]

"We use a 1/3rd less bedding than we did before."





"We like the waterbeds because the water is constantly moving so every cow is comfortable. As the cow moves the water adjusts to her and when she leaves the water moves back for the next cow to lay down. We use a 1/3rd less bedding than we did before because it stays longer."

- Carlie Rademacher, R-Acres, Cottage Grove, WI Installed - 200 in 2018 and 350 in 2021

DCC Waterbeds®

www.DCCWaterbeds.com | (608) 709-2693 Advanced Comfort Technology, Inc. © 2021

SHE'S GIVING YOU HER BEST BEST YEARS.

IT'S UP TO YOU TO MAKE THEM COUNT.

There's nothing special about this cow—except everything. She navigates calving, pregnancy, and dry off with ease, producing high-quality milk along the way.

She's a NutriTek cow, leading the pack and built to withstand anything thrown at her—by us or Mother Nature.

To find out how to get a whole herd like her, visit DiamondV.com/NutriTek



🐟 Diamond V

Lena Veterinary Clinic Milk Quality Lab



- Aerobic culture for clinical mastitis diagnosis
 - Staph aureus & Prototheca screening
- Anaerobic culture for *Mycoplasma* screening
 - Mastitis treatment protocol development
 - Milking procedure evaluation
 - Record analysis & SCC troubleshooting

An average case of clinical mastitis results in a total economic cost of \$444

Questions? Call 815-369-2400 or email lenavet@aeroinc.net



WE HAVE WHAT YOU NEED

Mark Maidak 815-718-0238

<u>mwmaidak@qmail.com</u>

Serving Illinois, Eastern Iowa & Southern Wisconsin

Seeds for all Needs

Alfalfa Corn/Soybean Grass/Oats Forage Mixes Pasture Mixes Sorghum/Millet Feeds for all Species

Cattle Goat Horse Lamb/Sheep Poultry Swine Plastics for all Farms

Bale Film Grain Bags Net Wrap Silage Bags Silage Covers Twine



MAURER-STUTZ

Experienced Project Managers

- NRCS Technical Service Provider
- Nutrient Management Expertise
- Assistance with Regulations
- Structural Design Services
- Site Planning and Design

309-693-7615



vww.mstutz.com







Leadership Development

- Dairy Ambassadors
- Dairy Experience and Agricultural Leadership Program
- Summer Internships

Share your Story

- Farmer Trainings
- Undeniably Dairy Grants
- Free items in the Promo Center

Get involved at MidwestDairy.com



MAKE LONGEVITY HER LEGACY.



Equip her with profitable genetics.

The average cost to raise a replacement heifer ranges from \$1,700 to \$2,200! Make sure she is equipped to return that investment and more through genetics to improve health, fertility, and mastitis resistance from Select Sires. Let Select Sires help you **make longevity her legacy**.



Select Sires MidAmerica Phone: (847) 464-5281 www.facebook/SelectSiresMidAmerica

Replacement helfer costs used from Tranel L. What's It Cost to Raise Helfers?



You wouldn't pass up 5% MORE E 5%



SO DON'T PASS UP THE POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE FEED EFFICIENCY BY

ABOUT 5% when you feed Enogen[®] corn as grain or silage, as demonstrated in recent feeding trials at leading universities.¹ Throw in farm-proven results and flexibility at harvest and you've got quite the catch.

ENERGIZE PRODUCTIVITY | EnogenFeed.com



¹ University of Nebraska-Lincoln Research Studies, 2013-2017; Kansas State University Research Study, 2017; Pennsylvania State University, 2019. All photos are either the property of Syngenta or are used with permission. © 2022 Syngenta. Enogen® and the Syngenta logo are trademarks of a Syngenta Group Company.

syngenta.



PROUD SUPPORTER of the ILLINOIS LIVESTOCK INDUSTRY

309.827.0912 € Bloomington, IL & ilcorn@ilcorn.org ☺ Illinois Corn Marketing Board Ø

You're here for the Moo.

We're here for UUUU.

Soy nutrition is a vital component for all Illinois livestock. That's why Illinois Soybean Association is proud to partner with other organizations in support of livestock expansion efforts across the state, supporting dairy cattle producers as they work to improve farms, grow animal agriculture, and meet demand with home-grown solutions.

One farm at a time.



Illinois Farm Bureau has been around for more than 100 years,

and throughout this time, one thing has remained the same --- our commitment to our members and our mission – to improve the economic well-being of agriculture and enrich the quality of **farm family life**.

In 2020, life changed for everyone, including farmers and rural Illinoisans. But farming continued, and so did Illinois Farm Bureau's efforts to support its members.

We reached out to our farmers. We reached out to our communities. We reached out to our next generation.

We are Illinois Farm Bureau Stronger Together

Get Involved and Join Today. For membership information please visit www.ilfb.org/membership.



IT ALL STARTS LOCAL

For St. Louis District Dairy Council, dairy promotion happens county by county, city by city, and school by school. The way we look at it, success in the global world demands a continued commitment to the local one. As your checkoff organization, we're committed to delivering world-class dairy promotion by actively engaging dairy consumers in our Illinois schools and communities.



ENGAGING STUDENTS WITH DAIRY AT THE LOCAL LEVEL BY Growing Awareness



Feed, nutrition & management expertise

1977

Vita Plus Lanark

Committed to providing customized solutions to meet your goals

300 N. Boyd St. • Lanark, Illinois 815.493.2445 • www.vitaplus.com

PLUS

Employee-Owned

Lending Support to Dairy Farmers

Farm Credit supports dairy farmers with reliable, consistent credit and financial services today and tomorrow. **Proud to support the 2022 Dairy Summit.**

www.farmcreditlL.com ask@farmcreditlL.com

Serving the southern 60 counties of Illinois







FARM CREDIT

Helping Farm Families Succeed

Merck Animal Health is proud to announce **Bovilis® Nasalgen® 3-PMH** is now available. The first intranasal vaccine that effectively protects beef and dairy cattle against five major causes of respiratory disease in one dose:

- Infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR)
- Bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV)
- Parainfluenza3 (PI3)
- Pasteurella multocida
- Mannheimia haemolytica

Learn more about this unmatched protection at Nasalgen.com.

MAHCattle.com • 800-521-5767 © 2021 Intervet Inc., d/b/a Merck Animal Health, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc. All rights reserved. US-NAL-210100013





1032 South 2nd Street Springfield, IL 62704 217-679-7361





Natural algae based solutions

Olmix has been providing solutions for environmental hygiene, mycotoxin risk, digestive efficiency, gut health and immunity, we offer a wide variety of technical resources to reduce antibiotic use in animals, thanks to algae!









ProAGtive is an organization of independent nutritionists that bring a team approach to the farm. ProAGtive has a real passion for the dairy producer, the cows and the industry. Our purpose is to educate, inform, and empower through our strategic partnership with the producer.

Through nutrition, quality products, and customized value added service, ProAGtive is defining and gaining profitability for producers, by understanding their needs and goals.

1-888-600-5458 ext 1 • PROAGTIVETECH.COM



YOUR SOURCE FOR AGRICULTURE, RESIDENTIAL & COMMERCIAL PROPANE

We offer payment options including level payment plan, keep full program and online bill pay.

Call The Equity Energy Division today at 217-342-4101

Need Farm Diesel, Diesel Exhaust Fluid, Engine Oils or Hydraulic Fluid? We're your GO to choice for all your Petroleum needs!

LQUITY

Building world class nutrition with you

Trusted partnerships to create tomorrow's opportunities.

Connect with us at go.adm.com/dairy





YMCP

Fresh cow recovery means getting them back on feed quickly* and replenishing nutrients lost during freshening. YMCP Vitall[®] has live yeast to promote feed intake, magnesium, potassium and more—including rapidly-absorbable calcium.

*M. Al-Qaisi et al., Res. Vet. Sci., 129 (2020), pp. 74-81



TechMix

REDEFINING HYDRATION



EXTENSION COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURAL, CONSUMER & ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES